Case 5:08-cr-00579-JW Document 10 Filed 08/22/2008 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, Case Number (12-08-70533 PV7	
Grando Defendant. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 8/20, 2008.	
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney The Officer States was represented by	
Assistant U.S. Attorney <u>W. Raleb</u> .	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been	
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person	
from imprisonment, whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the	
safety of any other person and the community.	
There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the	
defendant has committed an offense	
A. For which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et	
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the	
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
/ / No presumption applies.	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	<u> </u>
The defendant has not come forward with any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore will be ordered detained.	_
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:	
7 7 The defendant has come for ward with evidence to result the approache presumption[6] to with	
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)	
/ / The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of	
conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions	
will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION	
	i
At The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at hearing and finds as follows: On aug. 13, 2008 the good informant met with My. Villafan who are	ame
Mr. Crus - arando + other orane. M. Villefun repolicited the gale with the informant and M.	lring
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	ا بع
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION muth an phetimine was displayed	Ol 1
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in	a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pendir	
appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a	
court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility sh	ıall
deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
Dated: 8/22/08 Sahueia V. Mendeell	
PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL	
United States Magistrate Judge	

AUSA LATTY ____,PTS ___